

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/914,244 08/19/97 LEGENDRE O 022701627 **EXAMINER** 021839 IM22/0324 BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS GRIFFIN, W P 0 BOX 1404 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404 1764 DATE MAILED: 03/24/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/914,244

Applicant(s)

Legendre et al.

Examiner

Walter D. Griffin

Group Art Unit



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 14, 2000	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	ormal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed i.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exist longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	·
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R	eview, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 approved 🗀 disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
	e priority documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	r) <u>08/501,872</u> .
\square received in this national stage application from the Inte	ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	·
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	·
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	·
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
•	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 08/914244

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Appeal Brief

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on January 14, 2000, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (a) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (b) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 7, and 11-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goodboy (4,364,858).

The Goodboy reference discloses a Claus catalyst in the form of activated alumina containing sodium oxide in an amount preferably between 0.1 and 2.5 wt% (1000 to 25000 ppm). This disclosed sodium oxide range of 1000 to 25000 ppm clearly anticipates the claimed ranges of 1200 to 2500 ppm, 1500 to 2500 ppm, and 1700 to 2200 ppm sodium oxide. It is desirable for the catalyst to have a surface area greater than 300 m²/g. This clearly anticipates applicant's claimed surface area. The catalyst is in the form of agglomerated particles (i.e., beads), the size of which can be adapted to a particular situation (i.e., fixed bed, mobile bed, or fluid bed). The Goodboy reference clearly discloses a Claus reaction which necessarily results in the removal of sulfur compounds from gases. Goodboy also discloses that hydrolysis of organic sulfur compounds occurs. (See col. 3, line 54 through col. 7, line 4.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 4-6, 8, 9, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodboy (4,364,858) in view of Dupin et al. (5,244,648).

As discussed above, the Goodboy reference does not disclose that the catalyst further comprises the components in claims 4-6, does not disclose the bead sizes of claims 8, 9, and 22, and does not disclose the pore volume as claimed in claim 23.

The Dupin reference discloses alumina-based catalysts that may be used in Claus processes. The alumina agglomerates may be formed using cellulose, charcoal or starches. They may also contain other components such as silica or alkaline earth metals. The agglomerates have a volume of pores with a diameter greater than 10000 Å (1 μ m) of greater than 0.1 cm³/g (>10 ml/100g). The volume of pores with diameters between 1000 Å and 10000 Å (0.1 μ m and 1 μ m) is between 0.10 and 0.15 cm³/g (10-15 ml/100g). This disclosure results in pore volumes that overlap those claimed in claim 23. (See col. 2, line 60 through col. 9, line 8.)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the catalyst of Goodboy by forming the catalyst with the components disclosed by Dupin because such components are pore forming agents and their use results in a porous catalyst.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the catalyst of Goodboy by including silica or alkaline earth metals because the alumina will be heat stabilized.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the catalyst of Goodboy by having the pore volume within the ranges claimed because Dupin discloses that this pore volume results in an effective catalyst.

Regarding the claimed bead diameters, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the catalyst of Goodboy by utilizing a catalyst having the claimed bead sizes because Goodboy discloses that the size may be adjusted depending on the particular situation.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodboy (4,364,858) in view of Flytzani-Stephanopoulos et al. (5,242,673).

As discussed above, the Goodboy reference does not disclose that the alumina catalyst is deposited on a support substrate.

The Flytzani-Stephanopoulos reference discloses that sulfur recovery catalysts that contain aluminum oxide may be deposited on supports. (See col. 4, line 63 through col. 5, line 34.)

Application/Control Number: 08/914244

Art Unit: 1764

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the catalyst of Goodboy by supporting the catalyst as suggested by Flytzani-Stephanopoulos because a supported catalyst is equated to granules or pellets and therefore would be expected to be effective in a sulfur recovery process.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter Griffin whose telephone number is (703) 305-3774. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Marian Knode can be reached at (703) 308-4311. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

WALTER D. GRIFFIN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1764

With O. B.

Page 7

WG March 9, 2000